#### Novalis Certification of stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic body radiation therapy programs: methodology and current status

James L Robar, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Thierry Gevaert, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Manuel Todorovic, PhD<sup>3</sup> and Tim Solberg, PhD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Radiotherapy, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel (UZB), Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Radiotherapy and Radio-oncology, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Pennsylvania, USA

## Objectives

The Novalis Certification program is the only program in the world dedicated to providing a comprehensive and independent assessment of safety and quality in stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) and stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). Certification is founded on the Novalis Standard, which was established from accepted guidance documents in the field<sup>1-4</sup>.

# Results

To date, 16 institutions have received Novalis Certification, including 7 in Europe, 3 in North America and 5 in Asia Pacific (figure 4). 118 certification applications are pending; roughly one half and one third of these are sites are in Europe and North America, respectively. The first 15 reviews have generated a total of 9 requirements and 84 recommendations. A retrospective analysis (figure 5) shows that the most frequent requirement is improved documentation of procedures. The most frequent recommendations pertain to equipment/systems QA procedures and a need for additional, dedicated equipment and effective use of checklists / time outs.

# Methods

The Novalis Certification program includes a review of SRS/SBRT program structure, adequacy of personnel resources and training, appropriateness and use of technology, program quality management, patient-specific quality assurance and equipment quality control. Currently ten auditors support the program, with six in North America, three in Europe and one in Asia, each bringing a minimum of a decade of experience in stereotactic practice. Centres applying for Novalis Certification complete a self-study 30 days prior to a scheduled one-day site visit by one to two reviewers. Reviewers generate a descriptive 77-point report which is reviewed and voted on by a multidisciplinary expert panel of 3 medical physicists, 2 radiation oncologists and 2 neurosurgeons. Outcomes of reviews include mandatory requirements and optional recommendations, with the former requiring resolution prior to award of Certification.

Figure 4. Summary of number of facilities for which Novalis Certification is complete or pending review.



Figure 1. Prior to the site visit,					
the facility completes a					
comprehensive self-study based					
on the Novalis Standard (right).					
Reviewers assist the facility in					
ensuring all required information is					
provided.					

NOVALIS STANDARD			
ТА	BLE OF CONTENTS		
А. В.	Introduction		
C.	Program Structure and Goals		
D.	Personnel Requirements		
E. F.	Technology Requirements10E1. Equipment10E2. Acceptance Testing10E3. Absolute Calibration10E4. Systems Commissioning10E5. Dose Calculation algorithms11Quality Assurance Requirements11F1. Comprehensive Quality Management Program12F2. Equipment Quality Assurance13		
G.	F3. Patient Specific Quality Assurance14F3.1 General Patient QA14F3.2 Dosimetry / Planning Patient QA15F3.3 Treatment QA15REFERENCES17		







**Figure 5.** Summary of category of **84 recommendations** (left) and **9 requirements** (right) following 15 Novalis Certification reviews.

# Conclusions

Novalis Certification is a unique and expanding peer review program that assesses safety and quality in SRS and SBRT and while recognizes high calibre of practice internationally. The standards-based approach is capable of highlighting outstanding requirements and providing recommendations to enhance both new and established programs.

within a single day. The reviewer(s) meet with the entire stereotactic team, including department Chairs, lead radiation oncologists, neurosurgeons, medical physicists, radiotherapists and Quality Management personnel. At the end of the day, and exit meeting is held to discuss preliminary recommendations and requirements.

James Robar

#### NOVALIS CERTIFIED Site Visit Agenda

Location: Watson and Crick Radiation Oncology Centre

Review Scope: Novalis Standard Version 1.1 Date: 30 April, 2016 Time: 8:15 am – 3:30 pm Participants: Head of Radiotherapy department, Physicist(s), Physician(s), SRS / SBRT team leaders and members, Management Team

Time	Торіс	Participants
8:15 – 8:45	Opening Meeting Welcome by Radiotherapy Management Team. Introduction of scope and agenda by Novalis Auditors. Summary and overview of patients to be observed later in the day.	Chair, Radiation Oncology Chief, Medical Physics Radiotherapy Management Team, Stereo Team Leads
8:45 – 9:15	Tour of Department Observe first SRS / SRT / SBRT treatment	Stereotactic Team Leads
9:15 – 9:45	Personnel Requirements Review of Staffing and Training	Management Team, Stereo Team Leads
9:45 – 11:00	Clinical SRS/SBRT Procedures Review site specific patient selection process, prescriptions, dose constraints and treatment procedures	Physician and Physicist Leads of SRS/SBRT disease site-specific teams, Stereo Team Leads
11:00 – 11:30	Quality Management Program Review of quality management, event reporting and uality improvement processes	Quality Management Representatives
11:30 – 12:15	Department Observation Observe second SRS / SRT / SBRT treatment	
12:15 - 13:15	Lunch break	
13:15 – 14:00	<b>Technology and QA Procedures</b> Equipment evaluation, acceptance testing, commissioning, calibration. Patient specific QA	Chief, Medical Physics, Head of Dosimetry, Stereo Physics Leads
14:00 – 14:45	Interdisciplinary Meeting	Radiotherapy, Radiology, Neurology, Neurosurgery
14:45 – 15:30	Close out Meeting Wrap up, review of findings, and recommendations	Chair, Radiation Oncology; Chief, Medical Physics, Radiotherapy, Management Team, Stereo Team Leads

(P) Minor noncompliance with recommendations, including:

 Non-systematic and/or, An isolated occurrence and/or,
 Not likely to result in the failure of the management system and/or,
 Not likely to result in the failure of the performance of the product or service

 The Corrective Action shall be addressed within three months

 al (M)
 Minor noncompliance with recommendations, including:

 A systematic failure of the management system and/or,
 A condition that may result in the failure of products/services or materially reduce their usability/product performance and/or
 A condition that may result in a situation in which the safety of the patient is compromised
 The Corrective Action shall be addressed immediately and implemented within one month.

#### Audit Pass/ Fail criteria

Option 1: Pass/ fail of audits lies in the responsibility and is applied according to the auditor's knowledge and Novalis Auditor Training – the outcome is reviewed by the Novalis Circle Expert Group and can be accepted or denied by the Group

Option2: >3 Minimal Findings (systematic failures) triggers audit failure Option 3: Minimal findings that cannot be completed/ implemented w/ evidence trigg

**Figure 3.** A standardized classification is employed to assess compliance with the Novalis Standard. Outcomes of the review include recommendations with regard to opportunities to improve programs, or mandatory requirements.

#### References

- 1. T. Solberg *et al*, Quality and safety considerations in stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic body radiation therapy: executive summary, Pract Radiat Oncol 2(1), 2012.
- 2. Stereotactic body radiation therapy: the report of AAPM task group 101, Med Phys 37(8), 2010.
- 3. Practice guideline for the performance of stereotactic radiosurgery, American College of Radiology, 2006.
- 4. Practice guideline for the performance of stereotactic body radiation therapy, American College of Radiology, 2006.



ESTRO35 • Torino • 2016



Physics track: Implementation of new technology, techniques, clinical protocols or trials (including QA & audit)

DOI: 10.3252/pso.eu.ESTRO2016.2016



